

Nov 30  
1970

A proposal for the values of a call stack entry  
and their manipulation by the return operators.

I) variables maintained in a stack entry

p-counter (PC)

p-writer modifier (PCM)

F-return count (FRTN)

class code for sub process at top of stack  
<sup>partly</sup>

Top of stack class code

**IP list pointer**

interrupt inhibited

This proposal only concerns the first 3.

DJCR

- A) The p-counter contains a non-negative integer ~~integer~~
- B) The p-writer modifier has one of 3 values
  - i) about to execute instruction at address PC
  - ii) in the middle of a complicated SJ instruction  
at address PC
  - iii) almost finished with a complicated SJ instruction  
at address PC
- C) The F-return count ~~count~~ contains a non-negative integer

## II) variables maintained in a sub process descriptor

BIT : interrupt armed

BIT : interrupt inhibited — instack

BIT : interrupt waiting

D : interrupt datum

D : error selection mask

### III) Fancy return instruction

a) parameters as follows:

PLO key D: key

P2      B0: data parameters being returned, if any

P3      BC: capability parameters being returned, if any

P4      D: error class, if any

P5      D: error number, if any

P6      D: interrupt datum, if any

b) ~~the return action tests various bits in the key and performs actions as described below~~

i) ~~interrupt bit~~

~~from~~  
1

b) The return action tests various bits in the key and performs actions as described below. In what follows, the top of stack entry is the one immediately below the stack entry for the subprocess executing the return operation.

~~if none of a master has interrupt waiting (not inhibited?)  
process the interrupt (setup current stack slot to execute its  
interrupt bit.) return + get 6~~

~~from, when a scan is started down the tree towards~~

~~The next scan begins with the subprocess at top of stack, looking for a subprocess~~

~~begin?~~

with interrupts armed, when one is found, ~~its interrupt~~  
~~waiting~~ if it's interrupt waiting bit is on, no further action  
for ~~this~~ interrupt. If off, it's interrupt waiting  
bit is turned on and ~~pre~~ ~~precess~~ the interrupt  
datum is placed in the subprocess interrupt  
datum.

ii) return with previous b.t.

If on, the environment is restored to that of top of  
stack, and appropriate parameter return actions  
takes place, including making a new TOS in  
case of error.

iii) F return b.t.

If on, the F+return count is incremented by 1.

iv) repeat b.t.

If on, the counter modifier is set to, "about to execute  
an instruction at address PC".

v) complete b.t.

If on, the counter modifier is set to, "almost finished with a  
complicated ~~next~~ instruction at address PC". (note that this  
bit overrides the repeat b.t.)

## vi) error bit

If on, a scan is started down the tree towards the root beginning with the subprocess at top of stack, looking for a subprocess with the bit on in its error selection mask corresponding to the given error class. When one is found, the bit is turned off in its error selection mask and a new top of stack entry is made, pushing down the old top of stack entry. The new top of stack entry represents a call on the subprocess just located, i.e. its p-counter is set to the entry point of the ~~found~~ found subprocess. (-8?) PCM is set to "about to execute an instruction at address PC." Its F-return count is set to 0. Its class code is set to that of the found subprocess. Its top of path class codes is set in the usual manner. The environment is now set to that of the new top of stack and the error class and number are placed in the appropriate locations.

Notice that the error s.t. is first one scanned since it may create a new statement. This could be avoided if the error info could be held in the subprocess descriptor as for interrupt, or held in the stack entry itself.)

(c) ~~Finding Next The return operation begins a scan towards the root~~

(c) Now the return action starts a scan down the tree towards the root beginning with

c) Now the return action examines the top of stack entry. (This is a new one if the error bit was on). It branches on the value of the PCM bit.

i) "about to execute an instruction at address PC"

a scan is started ~~towards the root~~ down the tree

towards the root beginning with the top of stack

subprocess looking for a subprocess with interrupt waiting bit on. If one is found, and <sup>The found</sup> subprocess

{ is not the top of stack subprocess, or it is the top of stack subprocess and its interrupt inhibit bit is off, then the following takes place:

interrupt waiting bit is turned off. Interrupt inhibit bit is turned on. A new top of stack entry is made as in the error bit case. The environment is set to that of the new top of stack. The interrupt datum is copied from the subprocess descriptor to the appropriate place in core. Finally we go to c) (hence C) ii) [PC = entrypoint - 8]

otherwise, the environment of the top of stack is reestablished & execution resumes at PC.

iii) "in middle of a complicated xt instruction at address pc"

~~(xt) (what?)~~

Set the environment to the top of stack. From the xt instruction locate the appropriate operation. Now see if the operation has sufficient depth to handle the F-return count instance (0 is for 1st order, etc). If so, make a new stack entry as in case i), (Set pc to entry point), go to c) (hence c) ii). If not, set  $pc = pc + 1$ , set  $pcm$  to "about to execute an instruction at address  $pc - 1$ ", go to c) (hence c) ii).

iv) "almost finished with a complicated xt instruction at address pc".

**system return →** Set the environment to the top of stack. From the xt instruction compute the appropriate p-writer offset. If this is within range, set pc to the new value, set  $pcm$  to "about to execute an instruction at pc" and go to c) (hence c) ii).

If this is not within range, generate the appropriate error, and do error cleanup/reversal code. Then go to c) (as above, hence c) ii).

IV) our existing return instructions act same as R> one  
but w/ a subset of the specified params and  
w/ no fixed key. (would fix the unused params?)

A) ordinary return

only b.t.on is complete s.t. No params

B) F-return

only b.t.on is F-return. No params

C) error-return

only b.t.on is error-return. error class and error number  
are only params

D) return with params.

complete b.t and params b.t's are only b.t.on.

block data and block capability are only params,

E) special return

repeat b.t only b.t.on. No params

I) descend on a call, want to make a new statement, set PC = entry.pc  
pc.m = "about to execute instructions at PC" for procedures  
in ~~III~~ (III) C) @ (hence III) C)i))

~~Q~~ ~~VI~~ external interrupt.

A) an external interrupt arrives with a datum and a class code.

3 purposes

- i) The given subprocess is examined. If interrupt waiting is on, nothing, else the datum is stored in the subprocess and interrupt waiting is turned on.
- ii) The given subprocess is examined. If interrupt not armed, then nothing, else as in i)
- iii) ~~execution~~ a freescan towards the root is started with the given subprocess. If a subprocess is found with interrupt armed then proceeds as in i), otherwise?

B) Now examine the process

- 1) Hung on an event channel<sup>(s)</sup>, not yet received an event, change PC in top stack entry to "about to execute an instruction at PC". Set a signal in the process descriptor to unhang from the event channel, reschedule the process. Prevent events from arriving.
- avoid swapping when interrupt. h it priority???

ii) Hung on event channels, has received an event.

change pc in top stack entry to "almost finished" with accumulated ~~to~~ instruction at pc". [could have been done by the event itself?] should be?

iii) Swapped out [↳ quantum overflow?]

donating

c) One for the Many

when every process is swapping in, after removal from any event channels, ~~the~~ a tree scan towards the root starting with top of stack sub process is done, looking for a subprocess with interrupt waiting on ~~interrupts~~. If one is found, A check is made to see if it has interrupt inhibit off or if it is not top of stack. If so, it is called as in C ii of III),

## VII) a number of unclear things

- a) start "for full"
- b) what if 2 subprocesses found with interrupt waiting? one has interrupt inhibited, etc.  
hum. If 1st one sets control, as command then ensure that would find 2nd. So if 1st inhibit I think the scan should continue and find 2nd?  
Also, they would fail if the top guy task did not have interrupt waiting, even if he had inhibition.
- c) what if no subprocess found with interrupt <sup>armed, not inhibited or waiting</sup> masked?
- d) no subprocess found with error classification in its mask?

## VIII) need

Set interrupt inhibit

Clear interrupt inhib.

Set interrupt armed

Clear interrupt armed

~~IX~~) This description can probably be somewhat better subroutines and especially the make new stack entry items